

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,484	- 08/03/2001	Joel D. Medlock	9824-070-999	7277
38881 75	90 11/03/2006		EXAMINER	
	SHAPIRO LLP	LE, LANA N		
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS 6TH AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2618	
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/922,484	MEDLOCK ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lana N. Le	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Al</u>	ugust 2006.				
		action is non-final.				
′=	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29 and 31-44</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 31-44</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) 20-29 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□.	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
- 72	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachment	(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dai				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	кен Аррисацон			

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-19, 31-44, drawn to scheduling more than one transmitter
 resources and summing more than one partial transmission signals to
 form complete transmission signals, classified in class 455, subclass 103.
 - II. Claim 29, drawn to scheduling and selecting one of a plurality of transmitter resources to generate a desired channel, classified in class 455, subclass 562.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and effect wherein Invention II merely select one of the transmitter resource to generate a desired channel while Invention I combines the partial transmission signals of more than one transmitter resources.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2618

and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to applicant's representative, Laura Brutman, on 10/26/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 2618

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-19 and 31-44 are allowable over the cited prior art.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding independent claim 1, the cited prior art disclose an electronic device for generating a signal, the electronic device comprising:

a plurality of transmitter resources for use in performing functions of a communication protocol and generating partial transmission signals corresponding to the resources;

an output bus coupled to the plurality of transmitter resources, an antenna summer coupled to the output bus, the antenna summer selectively storing the transmission signals received on the output bus corresponding to each of the plurality of transmitter resources.

However, the cited prior art fail to disclose:

the output bus selectively receiving the partial transmission signals from the plurality of transmitter resources and selectively transmitting the partial transmission signals; and a plurality of antenna summers coupled to the output bus, the plurality of antenna summers selectively storing the transmitted partial transmission signals received on and transmitted by the output bus corresponding to each of said plurality of transmitter

Art Unit: 2618

resources and combining the partial transmission signals to form complete transmission signals according to the communication protocol.

Regarding independent claim 38, the cited prior art disclose an electronic device for generating a signal, the electronic device comprising:

a plurality of transmitter resources for use in performing functions of a communication protocol and generating partial transmission signals corresponding to the resources.

However, the cited prior art fail to disclose:

a plurality of antenna summers coupled to the output bus, the antenna summers storing the partial transmission signals received on and transmitted by the output bus corresponding to each of said plurality of transmitter resources and combining the partial transmission signals to form complete transmission signals according to the communication protocol; and a means for communicating the partial transmission signals from the plurality of transmission resources to the antenna summer for a given antenna in a serial manner.

Regarding independent claims 13, 14, 15, 19, 31, and 33-35, they were the objected claim and are allowable since they have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2618

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lana N. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-7891. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward F. Urban can be reached on (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

LANA LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Lana Le